THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

JERRY L. COCHRANE	
Plaintiff,	Case No. 06-12504
VS.	HONORABLE PAUL D. BORMAN HONORABLE STEVEN D. PEPE
JOSEPH WILSON, et al.	
Defendants.	

Order Adding Bradford E. Curry as a Defendant in the Caption of Plaintiff's Complaint and Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions (Dkt. #17) and Judicial Notice (Dkt. #20)

On December 18, 2006, Plaintiff filed his Ex Parte Motion/Brief For Sanctions indicating that Defendants have refused to accept service and seeking costs against the Defendants (Dkt. #17). Plaintiff also filed a December 30, 2006, motion seeking judicial notice that Defendants refuse to accept service made by the Plaintiff (Dkt. #20). All pretrial matters were referred to the undersigned on June 13, 2006, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(A). For the reasons indicated below. **It is ordered that** Plaintiff's motions are **Denied**.

On December 18, 2006, the undersigned issued an order directing the United States

Marshals to serve a copy of Plaintiff's complaint and summons upon each Defendant, which was
done on December 19. On December 28 a wavier of service was returned executed by

Defendants Joseph Wilson and Bradford E. Curry (Dkt. ##18 & 19). Because Defendants have
been properly served, Plaintiff is not required to take any further action to serve Defendant's

2:06-cv-12504-PDB-SDP Doc # 21 Filed 01/11/07 Pg 2 of 3 Pg ID 107

with copies of his complaint. Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d)(3) each defendant has 60 days from

December 19 to answer the Complaint. Because service of Defendants has been waived

Plaintiff's motions are rendered moot and are therefore **DENIED.**

A review of the complaint reveals that while Plaintiff has listed Joseph Wilson as a

defendant in the caption of his complaint he has failed to include Bradford E. Curry in the same

caption or attach documentation to his complaint indicating Mr. Curry should be listed as a

defendant. Mr. Curry is described as a defendant in the body of Plaintiff's complaint and

Plaintiff has attached a document to his application to proceed without prepayment of fees and

cost, which does name Mr. Curry as an additional defendant (Dkt. #2). Yet, because Mr. Curry

is not listed as a defendant in the caption of Plaintiff's complaint, he is unable to file an

appearance. It is therefore ordered that the caption of Plaintiff's complaint shall be

amended to include Bradford E. Curry as a defendant, so that he may proceed with his

responsibilities in this case.

SO ORDERED.

Date: January 11, 2007

Ann Arbor, Michigan

s/Steven D. Pepe

United States Magistrate Judge

2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on <u>January 11, 2007</u>, I electronically filed the foregoing paper with the Clerk Court using the ECF system which will send electronic notification to the following: <u>not applicable</u>, and I hereby certify that I have mailed by United States Postal Service the paper to the following non-ECF participants: <u>Jerry Cochran</u>, #210173, St. Louis Correctional Facility, 8585 N. Croswell Rd., St. Louis, MI 48880

s/ James P. Peltier
James P. Peltier
Courtroom Deputy Clerk
U.S. District Court
600 Church St.
Flint, MI 48502
810-341-7850
pete_peliter@mied.uscourts.gov